



Name:	UTTAR PRADESH JOURNAL OF ZOOLOGY
Manuscript Number:	Ms_UPJOZ_3343
Title of the Manuscript:	Avian Diversity of Nature Park, Kolkata (West Bengal, India)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline:

This journal believes that no manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is sufficiently robust and technically sound. Too often a journal's decision to publish a paper is dominated by what the Editor/reviewer think is interesting and will gain greater readership - both of which are subjective judgments and lead to decisions which are frustrating and delay the publication. This journal will rigorously peer-review your submissions and publish all papers that are judged to be technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://mbimph.com/index.php/UPJOZ/editorial-policy>



1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's feedback
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</p> <p>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. No, I propose “first assessment of bird’s richness in Nature Park, Kolkata (West Bengal, India)”</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. No, I have inserted recent one</p> <p>No additional suggestions or comments</p>	<p>FEEDBACK</p> <p>As per reviewer’s comment the strike through portions of the text were replaced with the corrected one along with the following-</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. A new title has been suggested [TS1, TS2]. 2. Keywords - changed [TS3]. 3. Map – changed [TS4]. 4. Pictures have been removed from text and were presented as supplementary data [TS5, TS8, TS9, and TS10]. 5. Information were added [TS7]



Minor REVISION comments	Please see the text I have reported all the comments and points that must be changed.	
Optional comments	No comments	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	